



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Snj
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,308	07/22/2003	Minghao (Mary) Zhang	Qantec-07	7788
7590	02/10/2005		EXAMINER	POKER, JENNIFER A
SVPA 7394 Wildflower Way Cupertino, CA 95014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,308	ZHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer A. Poker	2832	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2003.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 8-14 and 21-27 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-5, 7 and 15-19 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 6 and 20 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>July 22, 2003</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

General Status

1. This is a first action on the merits of application filed July 22, 2003. Claims 1-27 are pending and are being examined.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains implied phraseology including, "disclosed". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

4. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: a period is required at the end of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-5, 7, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 5,497,137 to Fujiki.

Regarding claims 1-3, Fujiki discloses a transformer for implementation on a circuit board, the transformer comprising:

- (1) a first spiral conducting strip located on a first layer (14c) (figure 2);
- (2) a second spiral conducting strip located on a second layer (14d) wherein the second strip includes two segments (26 and 28) and two terminal ends each; the two terminals of the two segments are coupled together to a ground earth electrode connecting conductor (30) (figure 2);

The first and second spiral strips are stacked one on top of the other (figure 2).

Regarding claim 4, because “tap” is defined as “...to establish an electric connection”, it is seen in figure 2 of the Fujiki reference that a center terminal end of the first spiral is used as for an electric connection to the above conductor (20).

Regarding claims 5 and 7, Fujiki further illustrates the conducting spirals extending either above or below their layer and are stacked one on top of the other (figure 2).

Regarding claims 15-19, the method steps are necessitated by the device structure as it is disclosed by Fujiki.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 8-14 and 21-27 are allowed.

8. Claims 6 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 6 and 20, no prior art of record or combination thereof teaches a transformer as claimed in claim 1 wherein a primary winding is formed by the first conducting stripe, the secondary winding is formed by the second conducting stripe, and wherein each of the two terminals of the two segments are connected together as a center tap of the secondary winding.

Regarding claims 8-14 and 21-27, no prior art of record or combination thereof teaches a transformer (method steps) comprising first, second, and third conducting stripes all on separate layers, wherein none of the stripes (first, second, or third) are electrically connected to one another, and wherein at least one of the stripes includes a middle connector to be a center-tap.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: 6,801,114; 6,779,261; 6,831,544 and 5,969,590.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A. Poker whose telephone number is 571-272-1997. The examiner can normally be reached on 4:30-3:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jap
February 3, 2005

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael J. O'Donnell". Below the signature, the words "PRIMARY EXAMINER" and "GROUP 2100" are handwritten in capital letters.